

Completed

Page 1

Background Analysis	Contextual Analysis	Internal Stakeholders	Transition Workplan
<ul> <li>Alignment with principles</li> <li>Significant organizational or program risk if transition not implemented</li> <li>Sufficient capacity in CO</li> <li>Interest and capacity of likely champion (typically the CD)</li> <li>Stakeholder encouragement</li> <li>Potential viable candidates for Board membership</li> <li>Viable options for alternate legal status</li> <li>The new entity would fill a gap</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Organizational Capacity Assessment (OCA) reveals no critical weaknesses which would be unresolvable.</li> <li>There is a plan in place for addressing weaknesses identified during the OCA.</li> <li>Landscape Analysis verifies a sufficient likelihood of future funding to sustain the new entity.</li> <li>Sufficient capacity and interest from local staff to undertake the transition.</li> <li>Board members identified and committed to support the new organization.</li> <li>External stakeholders state general support for such a transition, with no significant or unresolvable concerns.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>APPROVAL from</li> <li>I-TECH ET</li> <li>Affected PIs</li> <li>UWorld Board (if relevant)</li> </ul> INFORMED <ul> <li>PI Council</li> <li>DGH</li> <li>SPH/SOM</li> <li>Global Support Project</li> <li>Sponsors</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>The detailed Task Lists to be used for populating the ARCHI include the specific criteria relevant in each of the content areas. These are indicated in <b>bold</b>.</li> <li>Each of the <b>required</b> steps must be completed before final transition of assets and programs can take place</li> </ul>

## Criteria considered when making decisions at different points in the Transition Flow Chart